

# <u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> <u>RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED</u>

AUG 1 0 2011

Sean Fox

Falls Church, VA 22042

RE: MURs 6289, 6362

Dear Mr. Fox:

The Federal Election Commission has considered the allegations contained in the complaint, designated as MUR 6289, you submitted on May 12, 2010. The Commission merged MUR 6289 into MUR 6362.

The Commission found, on the basis of the information provided in the complaint and information provided by respondents, that there is: no reason to believe Jeff Denham violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a); no reason to believe Denham for Congress and David Bauer, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 434(b) and 441b(a); no reason to believe Remembering the Brave Foundation violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a); and no reason to believe that the Picayune Rancheria of the Chukchansi Indians/Chukchansi Tribal Government violated any provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, ("the Act") or Commission regulations in connection with the allegations in this matter. The Commission considered other allegations contained in the complaint, but was equally divided on whether to find reason to believe that Remembering the Brave Foundation violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 434(f) and 441d. Accordingly, on August 2, 2011, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analyses, which explain the Commission's no reason to believe findings, are enclosed for your information. One or more Statements of Reasons providing a basis for the Commission's decision regarding the other allegations will follow.

Sean Fox MURs 6289, 6362 Page 2

The Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8). If you have any questions, please contact Dominique Dillenseger, the attorney assigned to this matter at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Peter G. Blumberg

**Assistant General Counsel** 

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analyses

1 2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION				
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS				
<b>4 5</b>	RESPONDENTS:	Jeff Denham	MUR	: 6362	
6 7 8		Denham for Congress and David Bauer, in h	is official capacity as treasure	er ·	
9 10	I. <u>INTRODUC</u>	<u>CTION</u>			
11	This matter v	vas ganerated by two co	mplaints filed with the Federa	al Election Commission	
12	("the Commission")	, one by Sean Fox and a	nother by Tal Cloud and Mike	e Der Manouel, Jr.,	
13	which were designat	ed as MURs 6289 and 6	362, respectively. See 2 U.S.	C. § 437(g)(a)(1). The	
14	complaints concern	ads broadcast by Remen	nbering the Brave Foundation	("RB"), a section	
15	501(c)(3) charitable	organization, to promote	e a May 28, 2010, benefit con	cert in support of a	
16	program in Californi	a to create specialized li	cense plates for families of m	ilitary personnel killed	
17	on active duty. The	ads featured Jeff Denhar	m, a California State Senator	and a candidate in the	
18	primary election for	the 19 <sup>th</sup> Congressional I	District in California, and were	e disseminated within	
19	30 days of the Califo	ornia Congressional prim	nary election on June 8, 2010.	The concert was held	
20	at the Chukchansi G	old Resort & Casino.			
21	The complain	nts in these two matters i	envolve allegations that the m	dio and television	
22	advertisements prom	oting the concert were	electioneering communication	s that were coordinated	

23 with Denham for Congress and David Bauer, in his official capacity as treasurer ("Federal 24 Committee') and were not disclosed to the Federal Election Commission ("the Commission"), in 25 violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). Complainants in MUR 6362 also alleged that the advertisements were financed from funds Denham transferred 26 from Jeff Denham for State Senate ("State Committee") to RB.

1	Respondents stated that RB, not the Tribe, paid for the advertisements and asserted that			
2	no violations of the Act occurred because the advertisements do not contain express advocacy or			
3	its functional equivalent.			
4	It appears that the radio and television ads at issue meet the definition of "coordinated			
5	communications," but qualify for the safe harbor for candidate charitable solicitations under			
6	11 C.R.R. § 109.21(g) because: (1) the ads do not promote, support, attack, or oppose ("PASO")			
7	Denham or any other Federal candidate(s); (2) RB, the organization for which the funds were			
8	solicited, is a 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organization as described at 11 C.F.R. § 300.65; and (3) the			
9	funds appeared to have been raised solely for charitable purposes, i.e., donations to RB, a			
10	501(c)(3) organization, to benefit the Gold Star Project. Accordingly, the Commission found no			
11	reason to believe that Jeff Denham and Denham for Congress and David Bauer, in his official			
12	capacity as treasurer, accepted and received prohibited in-kind corporate contributions resulting			
13	from coordinated communications in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a); and no reason to believe			
14	that Denham for Congress and David Bauer, in his official capacity as treasurer, failed to report			
15	such contributions in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).			
16	The Commission considered the allegations that the advertisements were financed from			
17	funds Denham transferred from his State Committee to RB, but was equally divided on whether			
18	to find reason to believe that Jeff Denham and Denham for Congress and David Bauer, in his			
19	official capacity as Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(e)(1)(A) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d) in			
20	connection with the transfer of non-federal funds to finance electioneering communications.			
21	The Commission will issue one or more Statements of Reasons setting forth the basis for the			

22

decision as to these allegations.

## II. <u>FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>

3

1

2

### A. Factual Background

**4 5** 

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In 2010, Jeff Denham was both a California State Senator, representing the 12th District,

- and a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives for California's 19th Congressional
- 7 District. Denham did not run for re-election to the State Senate. Denham won the June 8, 2010,
- 8 Republican primary and the November 2, 2010, general election.

In the two months before the June 8 primary, Denham's State Committee made transfers

totaling \$225,000 to RB, an entity organized under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue

Code (26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(3)). RB honors veterans killed in action, and it organizes ceremonies

and events to honor deceased servicemembers and their families. See

13 http://www.rememberingthebrave.org. The transfers included a \$25,000 donation made on April

12, 2010, and three loans, which the Committee forgave: a \$100,000 loan made on April 19,

15 2010, a \$50,000 loan made on May 12, 2010, and a \$50,000 loan on May 25, 2010.

Eleven days before the June 8 primary, a benefit concert was held at the Chukchansi Gold Resort & Casino, in Coarsegold, California, which is in the 19<sup>th</sup> Congressional District. The concert, sponsored by RB and featuring country and western music performer Phil Vassar, was advertised on radio, television, and the internet as a benefit concert to raise donations for Project Gold Star—a program administered by the California Department of Veteran Affairs to raise private donations to pay the costs of a specialized license plate program for the families of U.S. military personnel killed while serving on active duty. Several of the advertisements promoting the concert featured Denham. RB asked Denham to act as spokesperson and to appear in the ads

See <a href="http://cal-access.sos.ca.gov/PDFGen/pdfgen.prg?filingid=1521583&amendid=0">http://cal-access.sos.ca.gov/PDFGen/pdfgen.prg?filingid=1568050&amendid=0</a>.

1	because of his "long-standing association with veterans' issues and the Gold Star Project				
2	legislation." Denham Response at 2. Denham, an Air Force veteran, was Chairman of the				
3	Veterans' Affairs Committee while he was a California State Senator and was a coauthor of				
4	Senate Bill 1455, the California Gold Star Family License Plate bill. Project Gold Star was				
5	signed into law in September 2008.				
6	Complainant in MUR 6289 provided a "Transcript of Coordinated Ads," which contains				
7	a link to the television ad as posted on the internet at http://www.rememberthebrave.com/, a				
8	transcript of the radio ad, and a list of seven TV and radio stations that aired the ads. The ads				
9	aired in May 2010, up to the date of the event.				
10	TRANSCRIPT OF RADIO AD:				
11	ANNOUNCER: Join country superstar Phil Vassar for a one-night Remember				
12	the Brave benefit concert, Friday May 28th Memorial weekend at Chukchansi				
13	Gold Resort and Casino. Veteran Affairs Committee Chairman Senator Jeff				
14	Denham.				
15					
16	JEFF DENHAM: As a veteran, I know the sacrifices of our servicemen and				
17 18	women, and the sacrifice shared by their loved ones who pray for their safe return.				
19	But some of them don't make it, their families then become Gold Star families.  This event will raise funds for Gold Star families and the Gold Star project as				
20	recognition for their ultimate sacrifice. Please join us at our benefit concert on				
21	May 28 <sup>th</sup> Memorial weekend. If you can't make it, go to Remember the Brave				
22	dot com to learn more and to make your text-deductible donations. Remember,				
23	every dollar counts.				
24					
25	I'm Senator Jeff Denham.				
26					
27	ANNOUNCER: Join Phil Vassar and Jeff Denham at the Remember the Brave				
28 29	benefit concert. For tickets go to Chukchansi Gold Resort and Casino or visit				
30	Ticketmaster dot com.				

TRANSCRIPT OF TELEVISION AD (as posted on the internet): http://www.rememberthebrave.com/

PAGE 1: At top of page is the logo of Remembering the Brave, followed by Benefit Concert. Underneath it is "Phil Vasser" followed by the date (May 28<sup>th</sup>) and location of the event (Chukchansi Gold Resort & Casino), a photo of a sample specialized license plate next to a statement: "Proceeds benefit the California Department of Veteran Affairs Project Gold Star, a link to the California Department of Veteran Affairs website, and two buttons: "Buy Tickets" and "Donate."

## PAGE 2: (Video)(30 seconds):

• First clip: Phil Vassar live concert and a voiceover "Join country superstar Phil Vassar for a one night benefit concert" while the following words flash on the screen "Remember the Brave" "Chukchansi Gold Resort and Casino" and "May 28th".

Second clip: Denham with 3 other individuals, two of whom appear to be veterans. Denham is standing in the middle of the group while the words "Senator Jeff Denham, Chairman, Veterans Affairs" flash on the screen. Denham then says "As a veteran, I know the sacrifices of our service men and women. A sacrifice shared by their loved ones who pray for their safe return. But some don't make it. Their families then become Gold Star Families."

• Third clip: Phil Vassar concert and a voiceover "Join Phil Vassar at the Remember the Brave henefit concert. Visit Ticketmaster dot com for your tickets today" while the words "May 28th" "Chukchansi Gold Resort and Casino" and "Ticketmaster.com" flash on the screen.

• Fourth clip: same shot of Denham with the veterans and Denham saying "If you can't make it, go to Rememberthebrave.com to learn more" while the words "Rememberthebrave.com" flash on the screen.

# TRANSCRIPT OF INTERNET AD:

Left side of screen: Photo of Denham and the words "State Senator Jeff Denham, Veterms' Affairs Committee" under the photo.
Right Side of screen: Message "As a veteran, I know the sacrifices of our

service men and women. A sacrifice shared by their loved ones who pray for their safe return. But some don't make it. Their families then become Gold Star Families. We're raising funds to make available commemorative license plates for these families as recognition for their sacrifice. Please join us at our benefit concert on May 28th. If you can't attend, I urge you to learn more [Ilnk] about these families and make a tax-deductible contribution [link]. Remomber, every dollar counts. Learn More: California Department of Veteran Affairs – Project Gold Star [link].

• Bottom of noreen: romamberthebrave.com is a project of Remonsberiag The Brave Foundation, a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit organization. For more information,

1 please visit www.RememberingTheBrave.org. Contributions and donations are 2 tax deductible and directly benefit the Remembering the Brave Foundation. 3 4 RB sponsored the benefit concert, the proceeds of which were donated to Project Gold 5 Star. Denham Response at 2. It also appears that RB, not the Tribe, produced, aired, and paid 6 for the radio, television, and internet ads. Id. Documentation submitted with the complaint in 7 MUR 6362 indicates that Gilliard, Blanning & Associates ("GBA") and Alamance Advisors 8 handled the media buy for the concert on behalf of its client, RB. See Emails between Genet 9 Slagle (media buyer with GBA) to Matt Rosenfeld (President/General Manager for KSEE-10 NBC24, KSEE Weather Plus, and LATV la alternativo), dated April 29, 2010, regarding Gold 11 Star Families Proposal. It also appears that GBA and Alamance Advisors handled the media buys for the Denham for Congress campaign in 2010.<sup>2</sup> See Emails from Genet Slagle to Donald 12 13 Osika, dated January 29, 2010. The Denham response did not specify how much was spent on 14 the ads, but does not dispute the \$100,000-\$200,000 amount mentioned in the complaint. It 15 appears that RB raised a total of \$105,440,24, about a third of the total amount raised (\$300,000) 16 for Project Gold Star.3 The response indicates that the ads aired during May 2010, up until the May 28th date of 17 18 the benefit concert, which was within thirty (30) days of the California Congressional primary 19 election in which Denham appeared as a candidate. Id. at 4. However, the response argued the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Denham Federal Committee's 2010 April Quarterly Report reflects disbursements to GBA and to Alamance for broadcast advertising.

The California Department of Veteran Affairs announced that Project Gold Star had met its fundraising goal. See <a href="http://www.cdva.ca.gov/newhome.aspx">http://www.cdva.ca.gov/newhome.aspx</a>. RB posted a letter from the Department of Veteran Affairs thanking it for its \$105,440.24 donation in support of Project Gold Star. See <a href="http://www.rememberingthebrave.org/news/">http://www.rememberingthebrave.org/news/</a>. On the letter is a handwritten note, indicating that this was the single largest denation neceived. Id. In a news release announcing that the Gold Star Project had raised \$300,000 and that the Gold Star plate initiative had passed, RB acknowledges that it "together with Senator Denham, his supporters, and other contributors ... raised approximately one-third of the funds needed to get the liceuse plate initiative passed." Id.

Id.

concert was scheduled for May 28<sup>th</sup> because it was close to Memorial Day, an appropriate date
on which to hold an event related to veteran/military issues and causes, and not because May 28
was close to the primary. *Id.* at 6. The response also stated that the ads aired over a geographic
area around the Casino where the concert was held and included Denham's State Senate district,
the 19<sup>th</sup> Congressional District, and areas beyond. *Id.* at 4. Finally, the response acknowledged
that the ads could be received by more than 50,000 people within the 19<sup>th</sup> Congressional District.

### B. Coordinated Communications

The Act subjects contributions and expenditures to certain restrictions, limitations, and reporting requirements. See generally 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a, 434b. Contributions can be monetary or "in-kind." In-kind contributions include an expenditure made by any person "in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of, a candidate, his authorized political committees, or their agents," and are subject to the same restrictions and reporting requirements as other contributions. 2 U.S.C. § 44la(a)(7)(A) and (B)(i); 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.52(d)(l), 109.21(b). The Commission's regulations at 11 C.F.R. § 109.21 provide that coordinated communications constitute in-kind contributions from the party paying for such communications to the candidate, the candidate's authorized committee, or the political party committee which coordinates the communication. A corporation is prohibited from making any contribution in connection with a Federal election. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a).

A communication is coordinated if it is paid for by someone other than the candidate or the candidate's authorized committee (or the political party committee, where applicable); it satisfies one or more content standards; and it satisfies one or more conduct standards. All three prongs must be met for a communication to be considered coordinated. 11 C.F.R. § 109.21. The

- 1 Commission's regulations exempt from the definition of "coordinated communication" a public
- 2 communication in which a Federal candidate solicits funds for organizations as permitted by
- 3 11 C.F.R. § 300.65, provided that the public communication does not PASO the soliciting
- 4 candidate or that candidate's opponent(s) in the election. See 11 C.F.R § 109.21(g)(2). Federal
- 5 candidates and officeholders may solicit funds for tax-exempt organizations as described in
- 6 26 U.S.C. § 501(c). 11 C.F.R. § 300.65.
- 7 The radio and television ads at issue meet all three prongs of the coordination test. The
- 8 payment prong is satisfied because there is information that the ads were paid for by RB,
- 9 someone other than the candidate, his authorized committee, or political party committee.
- 10 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(a)(1). The content prong is satisfied because the communications qualify as
- public communications which "refer[] to a clearly identified House or Senate candidate that
- 12 [are] publicly distributed or otherwise publicly disseminated in the clearly identified candidate's
- 13 jurisdiction 90 days or fewer before the ...primary or preference election. <sup>4</sup> 11 C.F.R.
- 14 § 109.21(c)(4)(i). The content prong is also satisfied because the ads meet the definition of
- 15 electioneering communications. 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(c)(1). The ads are electioneering
- 16 communications because they were publicly distributed on radio and television, refer to a clearly
- 17 identified candidate for Federal office, were publicly distributed within 30 days before the
- primary election, and were targeted to the relevant electorate (the ads could be received by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A public communication includes broadcast communications. 2 U.S.C. § 431(22). It does not include internet communications, except for communications placed for a fee on another's Web site. 11 C.F.R. § 100.26. "Clearly identified" means the candidate's name or photograph appears, or "the identity of the candidate is otherwise apparent through an unambiguous reference." 2 U.S.C. § 431(18); 11 C.F.R. § 100.17.

- 1 50,000 or more persons in the district that Denham sought to represent (19<sup>th</sup> Congressional
- 2 District)).<sup>5</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 100.29.
- 3 The conduct prong is satisfied if a candidate or candidate's committee assents to a request
- 4 or suggestion that the public communication be created, produced, or distributed, and that
- 5 request or suggestion came from the person paying for the communication. 11 C.F.R.
- 6 § 109.21(d)(1)(ii). The response acknowledged that RB requested that Denham act as the
- 7 spokesperson and to appear in the ads, which he did. Denham Response at 2. Because Denham
- 8 is an agent of his Committee, his actions are also imputed to his Committee. 11 C.F.R.
- 9 §§ 109.3(b)(1), (2); 109.21(a), (d)(1)(ii).
- Though the television and radio ads meet the definition of "coordinated"
- communications," they qualify for the safe harbor for candidate charitable solicitations in
- 12 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(g)(2). This provision exempts from the definition of "coordinated
- communications" public communications in which a Federal candidate solicits funds for certain
- 14 tax-exempt organizations as permitted by 11 C.F.R. § 300.65, provided that the public
- communications do not PASO the sollciting candidate or that candidate's opponents in that
- election. In this matter, Denham, a Federal candidate, appeared and/or spoke in broadcast radio
- and television ada to solicit funds for RB, a 501(c)(3) organization, in support of Project Gold
- 18 Star. The available information indicates that RB is an organization described in 11 C.F.R.
- 19 § 300.65, and the solicitations for donations to RB complied with the requirements of 11 C.F.R.
- 20 § 300.65 because they appeared to have been for the purpose of raising funds for RB in support
- of Project Gold Star. Thus, it appears that these communications are exempt from the definition

RB's internet ad is not included in this analysis because it is exempt from the definition of electioneering communications. 11 C.F.R. § 100.29(c)(1).

of "coordinated communications" if they did not promote or support Denham and did not attack
or oppose his opponent.

It does not appear that the ads at issue promote or support Denham or attack or oppose any of his opponents. Although the Commission has not defined the term "promote, support, attack, or oppose," it has provided some guidance in advisory opinions as to what might constitute PASO of a candidate. See AO 2009-26 (Coulson) (concluding that a state officeholder could use non-federal funds to pay for communication that did not PASO a candidate for Foderal office because the communication was solely part of the State officeholder's duties, did not solicit donations, nor did it expressly advocate the candidate's election or the defeat of her opponents); see also AOs 2007-34 (Jackson), 2007-21 (Holt), 2006-10 (Echostar) and 2003-25 (Weinzapfel) (holding that the mere identification of an individual who is a Federal candidate does not, in itself, promote, support, attack or oppose that candidate).

The only clearly identified candidate in the ads is Denham, who is identified as a veteran, a State Senator, and as Chairman of the Veterans' Affairs Committee, not as a candidate for Federal office. The ads do not contain express advocacy or its functional equivalent, and do not contain references to any election or political party. Given the above, it does not appear that the ads PASO'd Denham or any of his opponents.

Neither the timing of the benefit concert nor the involvement of the Denham campaign consultants/media buyer/supporters in the planning of the benefit concert and ads would appear to prevent the application of the safe harbor for charitable solicitations. See Explanation and Justification for Final Rules for Safe Harbor for Endorsements and Solicitations by Federal Candidates (11 C.F.R. § 109.21(g)) 71 Fed. Reg. 33201-33202 (Jun. 8, 2006) (stating that the "safe harbor applies regardless of the timing and proximity to an election ... of the solicitation

- and [w]hen the safe harbor is applicable, the . . . soliciting candidate (and the candidate's agents)
- 2 may be involved in the development of the communication, in determining the content of the
- 3 communication, as well as determining the means or mode and timing or frequency of the
- 4 communication."); See also, AO 2006-10 (Echostar).
- Based on the above, the ads at issue were not coordinated communications. Accordingly,
- 6 the Commission found no reason to believe that Jeff Denham and Denham for Congress and
- 7 David Bauer, in his official capacity as treasurer, accepted and received prohibited in-kind
- 8 corporate contributions resulting from coordinated communications in violation of 2 U.S.C.
- 9 § 441b(a); and no reason to believe that Denham for Congress and David Bauer, in his official
- capacity as treasurer, failed to report such contributions in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).

	PEDELAL ELECTION COMMISSION
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
5	RESPONDENT: Remembering the Brave Foundation MUR: 6362
6 7	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
8	This matter was generated by two complaints filed with the Federal Election
9	Commission ("the Commission"), one by Sean Fox and another by Tal Cloud and Mike
10	Der Mannuel, Jr., which were designated as MURs 6289 and 6362, respectively. See
11	2 U.S.C. § 437(g)(a)(1). The complaints concern ads broadcast by Remembering the
12	Brave Foundation ("RB"), a section 501(c)(3) charitable organization, to promote a May
13	28, 2010, benefit concert in support of a program in California to create specialized
14	license plates for families of military personnel killed on active duty. The ads featured
15	Jeff Denham, a California State Senator and a candidate in the primary election for the
16	19th Congressional District in California, and were disseminated within 30 days of the
17	California Congressional primary election on June 8, 2010. The concert was held at the
18	Chukchansi Gold Resort & Casino.
9	The complaints in these two matters involve allegations that the radio and
20	television advertisements promoting the concert were electioneering communications that
21	were coordinated with Denham for Congress and David Bauer, in his official capacity as
22	treasurer, ("Federal Committee") and were not disclosed to the Federal Election
23	Commission ("the Commission"), in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of
24	1971, as amended (the "Act"). Complainants in MUR 6362 also alleged that the
25	advertisements were financed from funds Denham transferred from Jeff Denham for
26	State Senate ("State Committee") to RB.

20

# MUR 6362 (Remembering the Brave) Factual and Legal Analysis

1	RB acknowledged that it paid for the advertisements and asserted that no
2	violations of the Act occurred because the advertisements do not contain express
3	advocacy or its functional equivalent.
4	It appears that the radio and television ads at issue meet the definition of
5	"coordinated communications," but qualify for the safe harbor for candidate charitable
6	solicitations under 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(g) because: (1) the ads do not promote, support,
7	attack, or oppose ("PASO") Denham or any other Federal candidate(s); (2) RB, the
8	organization for which the funds were solicited, is a 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organization as
9	described at 11 C.F.R. § 300.65; and (3) the funds appeared to have been raised solely for
10	charitable purposes, i.e., donations to RB, a 501(c)(3) organization to benefit the Gold
11,	Star Project. Accordingly, the Commission found no reason to believe that Remembering
12	the Brave Foundation made a prohibited in-kind corporate contribution resulting from
13	coordinated communications in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a).
14	With regard to the allegations that the advertisements were electioneering
15	communications, the Commission was equally divided on whether to find reason to
16	believe that Remembering the Brave Foundation violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 434(f) and 441d,
17	by failing to file disclosure reports for these communications and failing to include
18	proper disclaimers on the communications. The Commission will issue one or more

Statements of Reasons setting forth the basis for its decision regarding these allegations.

2

### II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

#### A. Factual Background

- In 2010, Jeff Denham was both a California State Senator, representing the 12<sup>th</sup>
- District, and a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives for California's 19<sup>th</sup>
- 5 Congressional District. Denham did not run for re-election to the State Senate. Denham
- 6 won the June 8, 2010, Republican primary and the November 2, 2010, general election.
- 7 In the two months before the June 8 primary, Denham's fitate Committee made
- 8 transfers totaling \$225,000 to RB, an entity organized under Section 501(c)(3) of the
- 9 Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(3)). RB honors veterans killed in action, and
- it organizes ceremonies and events to honor deceased servicemembers and their families.
- 11 See <a href="http://www.rememberingthebrave.org/">http://www.rememberingthebrave.org/</a>. The transfers included a \$25,000 donation
- made on April 12, 2010, and three loans, which the Committee forgave: a \$100,000 loan
- made on April 19, 2010, a \$50,000 loan made on May 12, 2010, and a \$50,000 loan on
- 14 May 25, 2010.1
- Eleven days before the June 8 primary, a benefit concert was held at the
- 16 Chukchansi Gold Resort & Casino, in Coarsegold, California, which is in the 19<sup>th</sup>
- 17 Congressional Lustrict. The concert, spoospred by RB and featuring country and western
- music performer Phil Vassar, was advertised on radio, television, and the internet as a
- benefit concert to raise donations for Project Gold Star—a program administered by the
- 20 California Department of Veteran Affairs to raise private donations to pay the costs of a
- 21 specialized license plate program for the families of U.S. military personnel killed while
- 22 serving on active duty. Several of the advertisements promoting the concert featured

See <a href="http://cal-access.sos.ca.gov/PDFGen/pdfgen.prg?filingid=1521503&amendid=0">http://cal-access.sos.ca.gov/PDFGen/pdfgen.prg?filingid=1521503&amendid=0</a> and <a href="http://cal-access.sos.ca.gov/PDFGen/pdfgen.prg?filingid=156805D&amendid=0">http://cal-access.sos.ca.gov/PDFGen/pdfgen.prg?filingid=156805D&amendid=0</a>.

MUR 6362	(Remembering	the	Brave)
Factual and	Legal Analysis		

- 1 Denham. RB asked Denham to act as spokesperson and to appear in the ads because of
- 2 his "long-standing association with veterans' issues and the Gold Star Project
- legislation." Response at 2. Denham, an Air Force veteran, was Chairman of the
- 4 Veterans' Affairs Committee while he was a California State Senator and was a coauthor
- of Senate Bill 1455, the California Gold Star Family License Plate bill. Project Gold Star
- 6 was signed into law in September 2008.
- 7 Complainant in MUR 6289 provided a "Transcript of Coordinated Ads," which
- 8 contains a link to the television ad as pasted on the internet at
- 9 http://www.rememberthebrave.com/, a transcript of the radio ad, and a list of seven TV
- and radio stations that aired the ads. The ads aired in May 2010, up to the date of the
- 11 event.

### TRANSCRIPT OF RADIO AD:

ANNOUNCER: Join country superstar Phil Vassar for a one-night
Remember the Brave benefit concert, Friday May 28<sup>th</sup> Memorial weekend
at Chukchansi Gold Resort and Casino. Veteran Affairs Committee
Chairman Senator Jeff Denham.

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12

JEFF DENHAM: As a veteran, I know the sacrifices of our servicemen and women, and the sacrifice shared by their loved ones who pray for their safe return. But some of them don't make it, their families then become Gold Star families. This event will raise funds for Gold Star families and the Gold Star project as recognition for their ultimate sacrifice. Please join us at our henefit concert on May 28<sup>th</sup> Memorial weekend. If you can't make it, go to Remember the Brave dot com to learn more and to make your tax-deductible donations. Remember, every dollar counts.

252627

I'm Senator Jeff Denham.

28 29

30

ANNOUNCER: Join Phil Vassar and Jeff Denham at the Remember the Brave benefit concert. For tickets go to Chukchansi Gold Resort and Casino or visit Ticketmaster dot com.

31 32 TRANSCRIPT OF TELEVISION AD (as posted on the internet): http://www.rememberthebrave.com/

1 2

PAGE 1: At top of page is the logo of Remembering the Brave, followed by Benefit Concert. Underneath it is "Phil Vassar" followed by the date (May 28<sup>th</sup>) and location of the event (Chukchansi Gold Resort & Casino), a photo of a sample specialized license plate next to a statement: "Proceeds benefit the California Department of Veteran Affairs Project Gold Star, a link to the California Department of Veteran Affairs website, and two buttons: "Buy Tickets" and "Donate."

## PAGE 2: (Video)(30 seconds):

 First clip: Phil Vassar live concert and a voiceover "Join country superstar Phil Vassar for a one night benefit concert" while the following words flash on the screen "Remember the Brave" "Chukchansi Gold Resort and Casino" and "May 28th".
 Second clip: Denham with 3 other individuals, two of whom

appear to be veterans. Denham is standing in the middle of the group while the words "Senator Jeff Denham, Chairman, Veterans Affairs" flash on the screen. Denham then says "As a veteran, I know the sacrifices of our service men and women. A sacrifice shared by their loved ones who pray for their safe return. But some don't make it. Their families then become Gold Star Families."

• Third clip: Phil Vassar curcert and a voiceover "Jein Phil Vassar at the Remember the Brave benefit concert. Visit Ticketmaster dot com for your tickets today" while the words "May 28<sup>th</sup>" "Chukchansi Gold Resort and Casino" and "Ticketmaster.com" flash on the screen.

• Fourth clip: same shot of Denham with the veterans and Denham saying "If you can't make it, go to Rememberthebrave.com to learn more" while the words "Rememberthebrave.com" flash on the screen.

## TRANSCRIPT OF INTERNET AD:

• Left side of screen: Photo of Denham and the words "State Senator Jeff Denham, Veterans' Affairs Committee" under the photo.

• Right Side of screen: Message "As a veteran, I know the sacrifices of our service men and women. A sacrifice shared by their loved ones who pray for their safe return. But some don't make it. Their families then become Gold Star Families. We're raising funds to make available commemorative license plates for these families as recognition for their sacrifice. Place join us at our benefit concert on May 28th. If you can't attend, I arge you to learn more [link] about these families and make a tax-deductible contribution [link]. Remember, every dollar counts. Learn

More:	California Department of Vet	eran Affairs - Project Gold S	tar
[link].	-	·	

• Bottom of screen: rememberthebrave.com is a project of Remembering The Brave Foundation, a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit organization. For more information, please visit <a href="www.RememberingTheBrave.org">www.RememberingTheBrave.org</a>. Contributions and donations are tax deductible and directly benefit the Remembering the Brave Foundation.

1 2

RB sponsored the benefit concert, the proceeds of which were donated to Project Gold Star. Response at 2. RB also stated that it, not the Tribe, produced, aired, and paid for the radiα, television, and internet ads. *Id.* Documentation submitted with the complaint in MUR 6362 indicates that GBA and Alamance Advisors handled the media buy for the concert on behalf of its client, RB. *See* Emails between Genet Slagle (media buyer with GBA) to Matt Rosenfeld (President/General Manager for KSEE-NBC24, KSEE Weather Plus, and LATV la alternativo), dated April 29, 2010, regarding Gold Star Families Proposal. It also appears that GBA and Alamance Advisors handled the media buys for the Denham for Congress campaign in 2010.<sup>2</sup> *See* Emails from Genet Slagle to Donald Osika, dated January 29, 2010. The response did not specify how much was spent on the ads, but does not dispute the \$100,000-\$200,000 amount mentioned in the complaint. It appears that RB raised a total of \$105,440.24, about a third of the total amount raised (\$300,000) for Project Gold Star.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Denham Federal Committee's 2010 April Quarterly Report reflects disbursements to GBA and to Alamance for broadcast advertising.

The California Department of Veteran Affairs announced that Project Gold Star had met its fundraising goal. See <a href="http://www.cdva.ca.gov/newhome.aspx">http://www.cdva.ca.gov/newhome.aspx</a>. RB posted a letter from the Department of Veteran Affairs thanking it for its \$105,440.24 donation in support of Project Gold Star. See <a href="http://www.remeinberingthebrave.org/news/">http://www.remeinberingthebrave.org/news/</a>. On the letter is a hundwritten note, indicating that this was the single largest donation received. Id. In a news release announcing that the Gold Star Project had raised \$300,000 and that the Gold Star plate initiative had passed, RB acknowledges that it "together with Senator Denham, his supporters, and other contributors ... raised approximately one-third of the funds needed to get the license plate initiative passed." Id.

1	RB acknowledged that the ads aired during May 2010, up until the May 28th date
2	of the benefit concert, which was within thirty (30) days of the California Congressional
3	primary election in which Denham appeared as a candidate. Id. at 4. However, the
4	response argued the concert was scheduled for May 28th because it was close to
5	Memorial Day, an appropriate date on which to hold an event related to veteran/military
6	issues and causes, and not because May 28 was close to the primary. Id. at 6. The
7	response also stated that the ads aired over a geographic area around the Casino where
8	the concert was held end included Denham's State Senate district, the 19th Congnessional
9	District, and areas beyond. Id. at 4. Finally, the response acknowledged that the ads
10	could be received by more than 50,000 people within the 19 <sup>th</sup> Congressional District. <i>Id</i> .
11	B. Coordinated Communications
12 13	The Act subjects contributions and expenditures to certain restrictions,
14	limitations, and reporting requirements. See generally 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a, 434b.
15	Contributions can be monetary or "in-kind." In-kind contributions include an
16	expenditure made by any person "in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the
17	request or suggestion of, a candidate, his authorized political committees, or their
18	agents," and are subject to the same restrictions and reporting requirements as other
19	contributions. 2 U.S.C. § 44la(a)(7)(A) and (B)(i); 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.52(d)(l), 109.21(b).
20	The Commission's regulations at 11 C.F.R. § 109.21 provide that coordinated
21	communications constitute in-kind contributions from the party paying for such
22	communications to the candidate, the candidate's authorized committee, or the political
23	party committee which coordinates the communication. A corporation is prohibited from
24	making any contribution in connection with a Federal election. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a).

# MUR 6362 (Remembering the Brave) Factual and Legal Analysis

1 A communication is coordinated if it is paid for by someone other than the candidate or the candidate's authorized committee (or the political party committee, 2 where applicable): it satisfies one or more content standards; and it satisfies one or more 3 conduct standards. All three prongs must be met for a communication to be considered 4 5 coordinated. 11 C.F.R. § 109.21. The Commission's regulations exempt from the definition of "coordinated communication" a public communication in which a Federal 6 7 candidate solicits funds for organizations as permitted by 11 C.F.R. § 300.65, provided 8 that the public communication does not PASO the soliciting candidate or that candidate's 9 opponent(s) in the election. See 11 C.F.R § 109.21(g)(2). Federal candidates and officeholders may solicit funds for tax-exempt organizations as described in 26 U.S.C. 10 § 501(c). 11 C.F.R. § 300.65. 11 The radio and television ads at issue meet all three prongs of the coordination test. 12 The payment prong is satisfied because there is information that the ads were paid for by 13 14 RB, someone other than the candidate, his authorized committee, or political party committee, 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(a)(1). The content prong is satisfied because the 15 communications qualify as public communications which "refer[] to a clearly identified 16 House or Senate candidate that [are] publicly distributed or otherwise publicly 17 disseminated in the clearly identified candidate's jurisdiction 90 days or fewer before the 18 ...primary or preference election. <sup>4</sup> 11 C.F.R.§ 109.21(c)(4)(i). The content prong is also 19 satisfied because the ads meet the definition of electioneering communications. 11 C.F.R. 20

§ 109.21(c)(1). The ads are electioneering communications because they were publicly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A public communication includes broadcast communications. 2 U.S.C. § 431(22). It does not include internet communications, except for communications placed for a fee on another's Web site. 11 C.F.R. § 100.26. "Clearly identified" means the candidate's name or photograph appears, or "the identity of the candidate is otherwise apparent through an snambiguous reference." 2 U.S.C. § 431(18); 11 C.F.R. § 100.17.

- distributed on radio and television, refer to a clearly identified candidate for Federal
- 2 office, were publicly distributed within 30 days before the primary election, and were
- 3 targeted to the relevant electorate (the ads could be received by 50,000 or more persons in
- 4 the district that Denham sought to represent (19<sup>th</sup> Congressional District)).<sup>5</sup> 11 C.F.R.
- 5 § 100.29.
- The conduct prong is satisfied if a candidate or candidate's committee assents to a
- 7 request or suggestion that the public communication be created, produced, or distributed,
- 8 and that request or suggestion came from the person paying for the communication.
- 9 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(d)(1)(ii). The response acknowledged that RB requested that
- Denham act as the spokesperson and to appear in the ads, which he did. Response at 2.
- Because Denham is an agent of his Committee, his actions are also imputed to his
- 12 Committee. 11 C.F.R. §§ 109.3(b)(1) and (2); 109.21(a), (d)(1)(ii).
- Though the television and radio ads meet the definition of "coordinated"
- 14 communications," they qualify for the safe harbor for candidate charitable solicitations in
- 15 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(g)(2). This provision exempts from the definition of "coordinated"
- 16 communications" public communications in which a Federal candidate solicits funds for
- 17 certain tax-exempt organizations as permitted by 11 C.F.R. § 300.65, provided that the
- public communications do not PASO the soliciting candidate or that candidate's
- opponents in that election. In this matter, Denham, a Federal candidate, appeared and/or
- 20 spoke in broadcast radio and television ads to solicit funds for RB, a 501(c)(3)
- 21 organization, in support of Project Gold Star. The available information indicates that
- 22 RB is an organization described in 11 C.F.R. § 300.65, and the solicitations for donations

RB's internet ads are not included in this analysis because they are exempt from the definition of electioneering communications. 11 C.F.R. § 100.29(c)(1).

- to RB complied with the requirements of 11 C.F.R. § 300.65 because they appeared to
- 2 have been for the purpose of raising funds for RB in support of Project Gold Star. Thus,
- 3 it appears that these communications are exempt from the definition of "coordinated
- 4 communications" if they did not promote or support Denham and did not attack or
- 5 oppose his opponent.
- It does not appear that the ads at issue promote or support Denham or attack or
- 7 oppose any of his opponents. Although the Commission has not defined the term
- 8 "promote, support, attack, or oppose," it has provided some guidance in advisory
- 9 opinions as to what might constitute PASO of a candidate. See AO 2009-26 (Coulson)
- 10 (concluding that a state officeholder could use non-federal funds to pay for
- 11 communication that did not PASO a candidate for Federal office because the
- communication was solely part of the State officeholder's duties, did not solicit
- donations, nor did it expressly advocate the candidate's election or the defeat of her
- opponents); see also AOs 2007-34 (Jackson), 2007-21 (Holt), 2006-10 (Echostar) and
- 15 2003-25 (Weinzapfel) (holding that the mere identification of an individual who is a
- 16 Federal candidate does not, in itself, promote, support, attack or oppose that candidate).
- 17 The only clearly identified candidate in the ads is Denham, who is identified as a
- 18 veteran, a State Senator, and as Chairman of the Veterans' Affairs Committee, not as a
- 19 candidate for Federal office. The ads do not contain express advocacy or its functional
- 20 equivalent, and do not contain references to any election or political party. Given the
- above, it does not appear that the ads PASO'd Denham or any of his opponents.
- Neither the timing of the benefit concert nor the involvement of the Denham
- campaign consultants/media buyer/supporters in the planning of the benefit concert and

- ads would appear to prevent the application of the safe harbor for charitable solicitations.
- 2 See Explanation and Justification for Final Rules for Safe Harbor for Endorsements and
- 3 Solicitations by Federal Candidates (11 C.F.R. § 109.21(g)) 71 Fed. Reg. 33201-33202
- 4 (Jun. 8, 2006) (stating that the "safe harbor applies regardless of the timing and proximity
- to an election ... of the solicitation and [w]hen the safe harbor is applicable, the ...
- 6 soliciting candidate (and the candidate's agents) may be involved in the development of
- 7 the communication, in determining the content of the communication, as well as
- 8 determining the means or mode and timing or frequency of the communication."); See
- 9 also, AO 2006-10 (Echostar).
- Based on the above, the ads at issue were not coordinated communications.
- 11 Accordingly, the Commission found no reason to believe that Remembering the Brave
- 12 Foundation made a prohibited in-kind corporate contribution resulting from coordinated
- communications in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a).

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
2 3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
4 5 6	RESPONDENT: Picayune Rancheria of the Chukchansi Indians/ Chukchansi Tribal Government  MUR: 6362
7 8	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
9	This matter was generated by two complaints filed with the Federal Election
10	Commission, one by Sean Fox, and another by Tal Cloud and Mike Der Manouel, Jr.,
11	respectively, which were designated as MURs 6289 and 6362 See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).
12	The complaints alleged that radio and television advertisements for a May 28, 2010,
13	benefit concert for the Remembering the Brave Foundation ("RB") featured Jeff Denham, a
14	California State Senator and a candidate in the primary election for the 19 <sup>th</sup> Congressional
15	District in California, and were disseminated within 30 days of the California Congressional
16	primary election on June 8, 2010. These ads were allegedly financed from funds Denham
17	transferred from Jeff Denham for State Senate ("State Committee") to RB. The concert was held
18	at the Chukchansi Gold Resort & Casino, which is owned and operated by the Picayune
19	Rancheria of the Chukchansi Indians/the Chukchansi Tribal Government)("Tribe").
20	In MUR 6289, the complaint alleged that the advertisements promoting the benefit
21	concert were coordinated electioneering communications, which were paid for by the Tribe,
22	resulting in undisclosed contributions from the Tribe to Denham for Congress ("Federal
23	Committee"). In MUR 6362, the complaint alleged that the same communications were
24	coordinated with the Denham campaign and involved the Tribe and others. This complaint also
25	alleged that the Tribe failed to disclose coordinated communications and independent
26	expenditures made in connection with the benefit concert and/or Denham's Federal Committee,
27	and may have done so to hide the true source of the funding. The Tribe filed a response to the

MUR 6	362	(Chuk	chansi	Tribe)
Factual	and	Legal	Analy	sis

- 1 complaint in MUR 6362, stating that there is no basis for finding that it made coordinated
- 2 communications or otherwise violated the provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of
- 3 1971, as amended ("the Act").
- 4 As explained below, the Commission found no reason to believe that the Picayune
- 5 Rancheria of the Chukchansi Indians violated any provisions of the Act or Commission
- 6 regulations in connection with the allegations in this matter.

### 7 II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

## A. Factual Background

- 9 In 2010, Jeff Denham was both a California State Senator, representing the 12<sup>th</sup> District,
- and a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives for California's 19th Congressional
- 11 District. Denham did not run for re-election to the State Senate. Denham won the June 8, 2010,
- 12 Republican primary and the November 2, 2010, general election.
- Eleven days before the June 8 primary, a benefit concert was held at the Chukchansi Gold
- Resort & Casino, in Coarsegold, California, which is in the 19<sup>th</sup> Congressional District. The
- 15 concert, sponsored by Remembering the Brave Foundation and featuring country and western
- music performer Phil Vassar, was advertised on radio, television, and the internet as a benefit
- 17 concert to raise donations for Project Gold Star—a program administered by the California
- 18 Department of Veteran Affairs to raise private donations to pay the costs of a specialized license
- 19 plate program for the families of U.S. military personnel killed while serving on active duty.
- 20 Several of the advertisements promoting the concert featured Denham.
- In its response, the Tribe acknowledged that it provided the venue for and distributed
- 22 promotional materials about the concert, but stated that none of its promotional materials referred
- 23 to Denham or to any candidate. The Tribe further stated that it made the following in-kind

### MUR 6362 (Chukchansi Tribe) Factual and Legal Analysis

donations to RB in support of the benefit concert: the use of its casino as the venue for the concert, a newspaper strip ad with the Fresno Bee, rack cards for distribution, postcards for distribution to Chukchansi guests, automated phone calls to Chukchansi guests, food vouchers with the purchase of two tickets to the event, rooms and meals for performers, an email blast, posters, and casino overhead announcements. See Tribe's response at 4-6. In addition, the Tribe noted that several television and radio stations ran public service announcements promoting the connect, which were provided without cost to the Tribe. Id. Finally, the Tribe asserted that it did not pay for or distribute any promotional materials that referred to Denham or to any clearly identified candidate, did not disseminate campaign materials prepared by the candidate, and did not expressly advocate the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate. Id. at 5. The Tribe provided copies of its promotional materials, and none of the ads provided refer to Denham or to any other clearly identified candidate.

### B. Coordinated Communications/Independent Expenditures

The Act subjects contributions and expenditures to certain restrictions, limitations, and reporting requirements. See generally 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a, 434b. Contributions can be monetary or "in-kind." In-kind contributions include an expenditure made by any person "in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of, a pandidate, his authorized political committees, or their agents," and are subject to the same restrictions and reporting requirements as other contributions. 2 U.S.C. § 44la(a)(7)(A) and (B)(i); 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.52(d)(l), 109.21(b). The Commission's regulations at 11 C.F.R. § 109.21 provide that coordinated communications constitute in-kind contributions from the party paying for such communications to the candidate, the candidate's authorized committee, or the political party

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

### MUR 6362 (Chukchansi Tribe) Factual and Legal Analysis

- committee which coordinates the communication. A corporation is prohibited from making any contribution in connection with a Federal election. 2 U.S.C. § 441 b(a).
- A communication is coordinated if it is paid for by someone other than the candidate or the candidate's authorized committee (or the political party committee, where applicable); it satisfies one or more content standards; and it satisfies one or more conduct standards. All three prongs must be met for a communication to be considered coordinated. 11 C.F.R. § 109.21.

An independent expenditure is an expenditure for a communication which expressly advocates the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate and which is not made in cooperation, consultation or concert with, or at the request or suggestion of, any candidate, candidate's committee, party committee or their agents. 11 C.F.R. § 100.16.

Based on the Tribe's response and other available information, it does not appear that the Tribe paid for ads featuring Denham, or that it made undisclosed coordinated communications and/or independent expenditures in connection with the benefit concert and/or the Denham campaign, as alleged in the complaints.

#### . C. Conclusion

Accordingly, the Commission found no reason to believe that the Picayune Rancheria of Chukchansi Indians/Chukchansi Tribal Government violated any provisions of the Act or Commission regulations in connection with the alkegations in this matter.